

## A Review of Apple Fruit Detection Techniques Based on Color and Shape Analysis

K. Mohanappriya<sup>1</sup>, Santhosh S<sup>2</sup>, Sivacharan V<sup>3</sup>, Tejeshwar M<sup>4</sup>  
Vishwa N<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering  
Saranathan College of Engineering, Tirchirappalli, India

<sup>2,3,4,5</sup> UG Student, Department of Computer Science and Engineering  
Saranathan College of Engineering, Tirchirappalli, India

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5281/>

### Abstract

*Apple fruit detection plays a crucial role in automated harvesting and yield estimation. This paper proposes a novel approach that enhances apple detection by integrating color-based segmentation with shape analysis. This method utilizes Simple Linear Iterative Clustering (SLIC) for image segmentation into super-pixel blocks, followed by Support Vector Machine (SVM) classification based on color features to filter non-fruit regions. Next, the Histogram of Oriented Gradients (HOG) is applied to describe the shape of apples, further refining fruit detection. The study evaluates detection performance under varying illumination conditions, demonstrating high recall (89.80%) and precision (95.12%). Comparative analysis with Faster R-CNN and traditional pedestrian detection methods highlights the proposed method's robustness, efficiency, and competitive accuracy. However, challenges such as noise sensitivity and real-time processing constraints remain. This survey discusses the effectiveness of this hybrid approach, compares it with deep learning-based detection methods, and explores future improvements in real-time fruit detection systems.*

**Keywords:** Supervised Learning, Classification, Clustering Data Preprocessing

### INTRODUCTION

Fruit detection is an essential task in automated harvesting and quality assessment. Apple detection, in particular, relies heavily on image processing techniques that exploit color and shape features. Traditional methods focus on color segmentation and morphological analysis, while recent advancements integrate machine learning and deep learning to improve detection accuracy[1]. Apple detection plays a key role in modern precision agriculture, facilitating yield estimation, automated sorting, and disease monitoring. However, challenges such as varying lighting conditions, occlusions, and fruit overlapping hinder the robustness of traditional methods.

Recent developments in artificial intelligence (AI) and computer vision have contributed significantly to improving detection systems. These approaches include the use of deep learning models, multi-spectral imaging, and feature fusion techniques. This paper surveys various methodologies and their applications in real-world scenarios while providing a comparative analysis of existing detection systems.

## RELATED WORK

### ***Color-Based Apple Detection Methods***

Precision agriculture relies on accurate and efficient apple identification in orchards to facilitate automated harvesting, yield estimation, and quality control. The unique color characteristics of apples at different stages of ripening have drawn a lot of attention to color-based methods among the many fruit detection techniques used. Especially in natural settings where texture and shape characteristics may be erratic or hidden, color is one of the most intuitive and computationally effective visual cues for object detection[2].

In color-based detection, areas of a picture that match the normal apple color—red, green, or yellow, depending on the type and ripeness—are found using image processing algorithms. By transforming RGB images into different color spaces, such as HSV, LAB, or YCbCr, these techniques increase segmentation accuracy and robustness against changing lighting circumstances. The most frequent methods for separating apple-colored pixels from the background foliage are thresholding, histogram analysis, and clustering algorithms like K-means or Mean Shift.

Even with their ease of use and rapidity, color-based techniques have drawbacks such as apples' color similarity to nearby leaves or branches, shadows, occlusion, and changes in lighting. In order to overcome these problems, color-based methods are frequently coupled with machine learning models, texture, or form to improve detection accuracy. Additional recent developments enhance real-time fruit localization in intricate orchard environments by combining deep learning with color-based pre-processing[3].

This study explores various color-based apple detection methods, evaluates their effectiveness under different environmental conditions, and identifies their strengths and limitations in practical agricultural applications.

### **Color Space Transformations**

*Color is a fundamental feature in fruit detection. Commonly used color spaces include RGB, HSV, and LAB. HSV is particularly advantageous due to its separation of chromatic components, which helps in detecting apples under different lighting conditions. LAB color space is another preferred option, as it aligns well with human vision perception and enhances contrast between apples and the background.*

### **Thresholding and Segmentation**

Thresholding techniques such as Otsu's method and adaptive thresholding are widely employed for segmenting apples from the background. These methods effectively isolate red-colored apples but may struggle with occlusions and varying illumination. Histogram-based thresholding and clustering methods such as k-means clustering have also been explored to refine segmentation results[4]. Combining color thresholding with edge detection can further enhance accuracy

### Challenges in Color-Based Methods

While color-based techniques are efficient, they face challenges such as overlapping objects, non-uniform illumination, and the presence of similarly colored non-fruit elements. Solutions include dynamic thresholding and the incorporation of additional features such as texture. Some studies have explored multi-spectral imaging to enhance the differentiation between apples and similarly colored objects, improving overall detection efficiency[6].

### SHAPE-BASED APPLE DETECTION METHODS

#### *Morphological Features*

Apple detection using shape relies on circularity, convexity, and edge detection techniques. The Hough Transform is a widely used method for identifying circular objects, making it suitable for apple detection. Convex hull-based analysis and contour extraction methods further aid in distinguishing apples from background noise[7]

#### *Contour and Edge Detection*

Edge-based methods such as Canny and Sobel operators help in defining the boundaries of apples in images. These methods work well in controlled environments but may fail in cluttered backgrounds. Combining edge-based detection with region-growing algorithms can improve robustness. Deep learning-based contour extraction techniques have shown promising results in handling occlusions and shape variations.

Methodology	Features Used	Accuracy	Challenges
Color Segmentation	HSV, LAB	85%	Sensitive to lighting
Shape Detection	Hough Transform	80%	Fails in occlusions
Hybrid (Color + Shape)	Feature Fusion	90%	Computational cost
Deep Learning	CNN-based	95%	Requires large datasets

#### *Limitations of Shape-Based Methods*

Shape-based detection struggles with occlusions, deformations, and irregular fruit positioning. Integrating shape features with machine learning models has been proposed as a solution to these limitations. Some research has explored shape descriptors such as Zernike moments and Hu moments to enhance detection accuracy.

### PROPOSED WORK IDEA

#### *Hybrid Approaches and Machine Learning Integration*

#### *Combining Color and Shape Features*

Hybrid approaches utilize both color and shape information to improve detection robustness. Feature fusion techniques integrate multiple visual cues to enhance accuracy. Combining these features through machine learning classifiers or deep learning frameworks enables more reliable detection in complex environments.

### **Machine Learning-Based Enhancements**

Traditional methods are increasingly being augmented with machine learning classifiers such as Support Vector Machines (SVM) and Random Forests. Deep learning techniques, particularly Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), have demonstrated superior performance in fruit detection tasks. Transfer learning techniques using pre-trained models such as ResNet and MobileNet have been explored to boost detection performance with limited training data[8]

### **Deep Learning-Based Apple Detection**

Deep learning methods such as Faster R-CNN, YOLO, and MobileNetV2-SSD have been successfully applied to apple detection. These methods leverage convolutional feature extraction and region proposal networks to improve accuracy. Some studies incorporate attention mechanisms and transformer-based architectures to enhance feature extraction capabilities[9]

### **Comparative Analysis of Existing Methods**

Table 1 provides a comparative analysis of key studies in apple detection based on color and shape features, highlighting their methodologies, datasets used, and performance metrics..

### **CONCLUSION & FUTURE DIRECTION**

This survey presents an overview of apple fruit detection methods based on color and shape features. While traditional methods remain relevant, hybrid approaches and deep learning techniques offer significant improvements. Future research should focus on developing robust, real-time, and scalable solutions for precision agriculture. The integration of multi-sensor fusion, deep learning- based segmentation, and real-time processing techniques will be key to advancing apple detection systems in modern agricultural applications. In Future Advancements in deep learning, sensor fusion, and real-time processing are expected to revolutionize apple detection. Key areas of future research include: Integrating multi-spectral imaging for improved feature extraction. Developing lightweight models for edge computing applications. • Enhancing real time detection with optimized deep learning architectures.

Exploring transformer-based object detection models for improved feature extraction. Improving occlusion handling through instance segmentation techniques such as Mask R-CNN.

### **REFERENCES**

- [1] X. Sun, Y. Zheng, D. Wu, and Y. Sui, "Detection of Orchard Apples Using Improved YOLOv5s-GBR Model," *Agronomy*, vol. 14, no. 4, p. 682, 2024. doi: 10.3390/agronomy14040682

- [2] H. Ma et al., “Target Detection for Coloring and Ripening Potted Dwarf Apple Fruits Based on Improved YOLOv7-RSES,” *Applied Sciences*, vol. 14, no. 11, p. 4523, 2024. doi: 10.3390/app14114523
- [3] J. Liu and Z. Liu, “YOLOv5s-BC: An improved YOLOv5s-based method for real-time apple detection,” *arXiv preprint*, arXiv:2307.01170, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2307.01170>
- [4] R. Johanson, C. Wilms, O. Johannsen, and S. Frintrop, “S<sup>3</sup>AD: Semi-supervised Small Apple Detection in Orchard Environments,” *arXiv preprint*, arXiv:2305.08820, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.08820>
- [5] Z. Jiang, B. Yin, and B. Lu, “Precise Apple Detection and Localization in Orchards Using YOLOv5 for Robotic Harvesting Systems,” *arXiv preprint*, arXiv:2403.13221, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.13221>
- [6] C. Lin, L. Lu, Y. He, and Y. Yin, “Apple detection using color information and deformable part models in orchards,” *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, vol. 127, pp. 395–408, 2016. doi: 10.1016/j.compag.2016.06.008
- [7] H. Chen et al., “Accurate and Real-Time Apple Detection Using Improved YOLOv5 Model,” *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, vol. 202, p. 107411, 2023. doi: 10.1016/j.compag.2022.107411
- [8] L. Yu, L. Jiang, and X. Xu, “Real-Time Detection of Apples in Orchards Using a Deep Learning Model with Improved YOLOv4,” *Agronomy*, vol. 11, no. 4, p. 781, 2021. doi: 10.3390/agronomy11040781
- [9] A. Yamamoto, M. Guo, and N. Hayashi, “Image Processing-Based Apple Recognition System Using Color and Shape Features,” *Sensors and Materials*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 471–480, 2018. doi: 10.18494/SAM.2018.1762
- [10] M. Rahnemoonfar and C. Sheppard, “Deep Count: Fruit Counting Based on Deep Simulated Learning,” *Sensors*, vol. 17, no. 4, p. 905, 2017. doi: 10.3390/s17040905