E-ISSN: 2584-0657

Verifying AI Truth: Decentralized AI & Blockchain

D. Elavarasan[1], M. Harini[2], S. B. Syed Mynudeen[3], P. Shree Nandhini [4]
[1,2,3] Department of Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning
Sree Sakthi Engineering College, Coimbatore, India
[4] Assistant Professor, Department of Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning
Sree Sakthi Engineering College, Coimbatore, India
***DOI**: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15641596*

Abstract

The surge of AI-generated misinformation threatens the integrity of online information. This paper proposes a model employing blockchain and decentralized AI to combat this issue. We're building a system where a network of AI agents, distributed across a decentralized architecture, independently analyze content for veracity. These agents leverage machine learning to detect AI-generated manipulation, sharing their assessments on an immutable blockchain ledger. This ledger ensures transparency and prevents tampering, recording content metadata and verification results. A consensus mechanism, inherent to the blockchain, enables collective decision-making on content trustworthiness. The system incentivizes community participation through a tokenized reward structure, fostering collaborative fact-checking. By merging the strengths of blockchain's security and decentralized AI's analytical power, this model aims to create a robust, trustworthy information ecosystem capable of fighting AI-driven misinformation.
***Keywords**: Decentralized AI, Blockchain, Trustworthy Information, Verification, AI Generated Content, Digital Trust, Collaborative Fact-Checking, Content Authenticity*

INTRODUCTION

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is increasingly becoming an integral part of contemporary life, ranging from personal assistants to healthcare, finance, and even law enforcement decision-making. As technology advances in AI, one major challenge has arisen: trust. Most AI systems, particularly those applied in sensitive domains, are opaque, and users have no idea how decisions are arrived at. This opacity raises fears of biases, mistakes, or even intentional manipulation.

Blockchain technology can address this problem by providing a decentralized, unalterable ledger that is able to record and verify AI decisions. Integrating decentralized AI with blockchain technology can provide accountability, transparency, and trustworthiness in AI systems. This paper discusses how these technologies can be integrated to make AI more trustworthy and ethical, enabling us to authenticate the truth behind AI-generated results.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The increasing use of AI in core industries has increased worries regarding its transparency and accountability. Most AI models, particularly deep learning-based models, are black boxes and hence cannot be verified or even understood [1].

To counter such issues, Explainable AI (XAI) methods have been developed by researchers [2], but the majority remain bound by centralized systems lacking trust and equity. This is where blockchain plays a role. With its decentralization and immutability, blockchain provides an avenue for monitoring and validating AI processes securely [3], [4]. Initiatives such as Singularity NET and Ocean Protocol demonstrate the ability of blockchain to enable decentralized AI services and protect data sharing [5], [6].

Platforms like Fetch. AI employ autonomous agents on blockchain to execute real-world tasks without central control [7]. Smart contracts are also being employed to enforce ethical AI behavior automatically [8]. Even with these developments, challenges such as scalability and privacy integration persist [9]. Current literature, however, indicates strong potential for integrating blockchain and AI to develop trustworthy and transparent systems.

The shift from centralized to decentralized AI marks a significant transformation in AI system development and regulation. This change is rooted in the necessity for increased control by users and greater trust in AI technologies. Centralized AI approaches, which feature the power and data being concentrated within a small group of organizations, have been legitimate sources of worry about data privacy, security risks, and algorithmic bias. Decentralized AI is the direct answer to these constraints, calling for a sharing of control and resources in a network of autonomous participants. This shift in paradigm empowers individuals by providing them with more autonomy over their data and enables a more open and robust AI ecosystem.

The fundamental principles behind deAI i.e., distributed processing, co-learning, and inherent transparency confront directly the limitations inherent in centralized approaches, vowing a more balanced and trustworthy ai environment the key technology that allows decentralized ai to be realized is federated learning. This is a novel strategy that allows collective training of machine learning models in a large number of decentralized devices or servers without requiring raw, sensitive data to be transferred to a central point. Rather, each party trains the model on their own local dataset and transmits only updated models aggregated locally to a central server or, alternatively, among peers. This privacy-respecting approach enables ai models to harness the collective knowledge obtained from various datasets without compromising the confidentiality and integrity of individual data. Federated learning is therefore key to enabling increased user engagement and confidence in decentralized ai projects, since it addresses head-on issues related to data privacy—a key consideration in the widespread adoption of ai technologies.

RELATED WORKS

Fundamentals of Blockchain Technology

Blockchain technology is a distributed immutable ledger that makes it easier to record transactions and follow assets across a network of computers. At its most basic, a blockchain is a series of blocks, with each block consisting of a list of confirmed transactions, a timestamp, and a cryptographic hash of the prior block, creating a chronological and tamper-evident chain. This cryptographic association guarantees that as soon as information is written to the blockchain, it becomes virtually impossible to modify or erase without the agreement of the whole network.

Blockchain technology has a number of distinctive characteristics. Immutability is a fundamental trait, such that once a transaction has been included in a block and verified by the network, it can't be altered, hacked, or tampered with. This is done through the use of cryptographic hash functions that produce a special fingerprint for each data block, and including the hash of the previous block within the next one, so it forms a chain where any modification would make all the subsequent blocks invalid.

Transparency is another important element, especially in public blockchains where every transaction is usually accessible to all the participants on the network. Though transactional information is commonly open, the transacting parties' identities are typically pseudonymized using public keys, achieving a balance between openness and anonymity. Decentralization is a core concept of blockchain, where the network is controlled by many participants or nodes, and there is no central authority to verify transactions or keep the ledger. This distributed nature makes the network more secure and resilient since there is no point of failure that can be targeted. The distributed ledger technology allows a replica of the blockchain to be stored across numerous nodes on the network, with data redundancy and high availability.

Lastly, consensus algorithms are used to authenticate new transactions and achieve agreement among all the participants in the network on the ledger state. There are different consensus protocols, including Proof-of-Work (PoW) and Proof-of-Stake (PoS), each with its own method of reaching network agreement.

Aside from its original use in cryptocurrencies, blockchain technology has been applied in various ways across different industries, especially where data integrity and verification are needed. In supply chain management, blockchain can provide transparent and traceable tracking of products from source to customer. In healthcare, it can lock down electronic health records and enable interoperability between providers. For digital identity, blockchain provides a secure and self-sovereign means for controlling personal data. It can also be used to safeguard intellectual property by offering an immutable history of ownership and creation. The intrinsic nature of blockchain lends it great capability as a tool for building up data security and transparency in a broad spectrum of uses.

Essential characteristics of immutability, transparency, and decentralization give a solid foundation for building trust and guaranteeing the integrity of data and processes in different applications, such as the sensitive field of AI verification. The design nature of blockchain, in which records are cryptographically locked and spread over a network, explicitly tackles the issues of trust and data tampering that are endemic in more centralized systems. Immutability ensures that once data has been written, it cannot be changed retrospectively, thereby forming a reliable and auditable record of AI activity. Transparency, though typically offset by pseudonymity to maintain user anonymity, enables the monitoring of transactions and data, making participants in the AI ecosystem accountable. Decentralization takes precedence in eliminating single points of failure and control, thus improving the overall resilience and security of AI verification systems. All these fundamental aspects put together render blockchain an unavoidable technology for underpinning systems that require high levels of trust and data integrity, including those used in the verification of AI results.

While public blockchains provide very high transparency, the widespread use of pseudonymous public addresses achieves a vital balance of openness and privacy needed for most applications in the real world. In situations where full anonymity may not be possible or preferable especially those involving compliance with regulations or definite lines of accountability blockchain's method of associating transactions with cryptographic keys instead of directly with real-world identities offers a pragmatic solution. This enables transaction verification and auditability of data without necessarily disclosing the participants' personal information. This equilibrium between pseudonymity and transparency makes blockchain appropriate for a broader range of applications, such as those involving sensitive information pertaining to AI systems that must be treated with caution while at the same time preserving some openness to ensure trust and verification.

The Challenge of AI Truth Verification

Confirming the factuality and authenticity of content created by artificial intelligence systems poses an intricate, multilayered challenge. Numerous inherent factors to AI models all contribute towards exacerbating the complexity of the difficulty, chief among them the reality of "AI hallucinations" whereby models create seemingly meaningful yet factually incorrect information due to their probabilistic nature. These errors can occur due to limitations in training data, where biases, stale data, or even intentionally false content may be learned and propagated by the AI. Additionally, most current AI systems lack humans' common sense reasoning and deep contextual understanding, causing them to make mistakes when they encounter new or ambiguous situations. Maintaining the accuracy and dependability of complex AI models like large language models (LLMs) is a major challenge because their enormous parameter spaces and complicated architectures can generate unforeseen behavior.

Another key challenge is that of explainability and interpretability of a large number of cutting-edge AI models. The "black box" issue, in which a model's decision-making process is not transparent and hard to comprehend for human beings, is most common in deep learning. Both global interpretability, i.e., comprehending the overall behavior of the model, and local interpretability, i.e., explaining specific predictions, are essential for establishing confidence and allowing effective monitoring. Interpretability is necessary to debug models, identify and correct biases, determine compliance with legislation, and engender user trust in AI systems. AI systems are also prone to manipulation and attacks.

Adversarial attacks, wherein input crafted with malicious intent can manipulate AI models to yield wrong or even dangerous output, threaten their reliability heavily. Additionally, the capability of AI to produce and spread misinformation and deepfakes on a large scale constitutes a severe threat to the trust in information in society. The simplicity with which AI can produce convincing yet untrue content highlights the pressing need for proper verification systems.

Data quality and provenance issues add another layer of complexity to the AI truth verification challenge. AI models depend significantly on the representativeness and quality of their training data. If the data is incomplete, biased, or flawed, the resultant AI model will likely suffer from similar defects. It is usually challenging to track the origin and history of data that is employed for training AI models, and thus identifying and rectifying potential sources of bias or error is problematic. Ensuring the data integrity and authenticity for the entire AI lifecycle is then an essential component of confirming the truthfulness of AI output.

Table 1 Key Characteristics of Blockchain Technology

Characteristic	Description	Relevance to AI Truth Verification
Immutability	Once recorded, data cannot be altered or deleted, ensuring a permanent and tamper-proof record.	Provides a secure and reliable way to store records of AI training data, model parameters, and outputs, ensuring they haven't been tampered with.
Transparency	Transactions are typically visible to all network participants, though identities may be pseudonymized.	Allows for public or permissioned auditing of AI-related data and processes, fostering accountability and trust in the verification process.
Decentralization	Control is distributed among multiple participants, eliminating the need for a central authority.	Reduces the risk of single points of failure or control in AI verification processes, making the system more resilient and less prone to manipulation by a single entity.
Distributed Ledger	The ledger is replicated across many nodes, ensuring data redundancy and high availability.	Ensures that records related to AI verification are widely accessible and resistant to data loss or corruption, promoting the reliability of the verification process.
Consensus Mechanism	Protocols used to validate transactions and ensure agreement among network participants.	Provides a mechanism for verifying the integrity and accuracy of data and processes related to AI verification, ensuring that all participants agree on the validity of the information.

Table 2 Limitations and Risks Associated with AI Reliability

Limitation/Risk	Description	Potential Impact on AI Truthfulness
AI Hallucinations	Models generate plausible but factually incorrect information.	Leads to the dissemination of false information, undermining the reliability of AI outputs and potentially causing harm if these outputs are acted upon.
Bias	Models learn and perpetuate biases present in training data.	Results in unfair or discriminatory outcomes, leading to a lack of trust in AI systems and potentially reinforcing societal inequalities.
Lack of Common Sense	AI systems struggle with reasoning and understanding context in novel situations.	Can lead to errors in judgment and unexpected behavior, especially when AI is applied to real-world scenarios that deviate from its training data.

Explainability Issues	Decision-making processes in many advanced AI models are opaque and difficult for humans to understand.	Hinders the ability to scrutinize the model's reasoning, identify potential flaws or biases, and build trust in its outputs. Makes debugging and ensuring regulatory compliance challenging.
Vulnerability to Attacks	AI systems can be tricked by adversarial inputs into producing incorrect or harmful outputs.	Compromises the security and reliability of AI systems, potentially allowing malicious actors to manipulate AI for harmful purposes.
Data Quality Concerns	AI models are highly dependent on the quality, accuracy, and representativeness of their training data.	Flawed or biased data leads to flawed or biased AI models, undermining the truthfulness and fairness of their outputs. Difficulty in tracing data provenance exacerbates this issue.

The built-in limitations of AI, such as the tendency to hallucinate, the existence of biases, and the difficulty of the "black box" problem, all present major challenges to being able to confidently validate the truthfulness and reliability of their outputs. These considerations highlight the need for outside, independent verification mechanisms to validate the trustworthiness of AI systems, especially as their impact in areas of life critical to society continues to grow. The opaqueness in most of the sophisticated AI models also makes it even more difficult by impeding our ability to comprehend and subject their thinking mechanisms to full-scale scrutiny. The transparency renders it extremely challenging to detect and meaningfully correct probable biases or errors that can be incorporated in the model's logic. As a result, interpretability becomes an essential need for opening the "black box" of AI, thus allowing human experts to verify the underlying logic of the model and identify any hidden problems that might undermine its reliability or fairness.

Leveraging Blockchain for AI Truth Verification

Blockchain technology, based on its inbuilt properties of immutability, transparency, and decentralization, provides an effective set of tools to resolve the issues relating to AI truth verification. Its most important use is blockchain for data provenance. The permanent ledger of a blockchain can be used to record with great care the origin and history of the data employed for training AI models, thus ascertaining its authenticity and integrity. By capturing each step of the data's journey, from its origin to its utilization in training, blockchain can establish a verifiable record of data processing steps and transformations across the AI lifecycle. This feature is especially useful in securing AI model training data and openly tracking contributions in federated learning settings, where data is split among various participants.

Blockchain also has a key role in increasing model auditability. Blockchain can offer an immutable audit record of the complete AI model creation process, such as the precise algorithms utilized, their parameters, the training data utilized, and the resultant performance metrics. In addition, smart contracts, self-executing contracts embedded in code and loaded onto the blockchain, can be applied to enforce pre-programmed rules and regulations for the development and deployment of AI models, ensuring adherence to ethics standards and regulatory demands as well as delineating accountable lines of responsibility. Current work also illustrates the ability of blockchain in supporting verifiable AI inference and query processing, providing an additional degree of trust in the execution of AI models.

Maintaining algorithm integrity is yet another dimension where blockchain can make a major contribution. By keeping AI algorithms on a blockchain and distributing them, their integrity can be ensured so that there will be no illegal modifications or tampering done with them. Smart contracts can further make AI processing automatic in a transparent and auditable format, such that algorithms are processed exactly as intended without any risk of manipulation.

Lastly, blockchain can be used for authenticating AI outputs. Systems can be put in place to record AI outputs, including predictions or generated text, on the blockchain in addition to important metadata regarding the input data and the particular model employed in generating the output. Decentralized oracle networks (DONs) can even extend this process by taking off-chain data and verification processes onto the blockchain, allowing for the validation of AI outputs against real-world data. These features have important implications for applications such as authenticating the origin of AI-generated media, thus preventing deepfakes and disinformation, and maintaining the integrity of AI-driven decisions in many applications. The intrinsic properties of blockchain technology solve directly the fundamental challenges of AI truth verification.

In keeping an immutable, transparent record of data, models, and output, blockchain introduces a basis for trust and responsibility that is sorely missing within most conventional AI systems. Furthermore, the distributed nature of blockchain also increases the security and redundancy, minimizing risks of tampering or single failures. Smart contracts provide an effective vehicle for automating and enforcing the rules of AI development and deployment, along with compliance with ethical and regulatory standards. Those capabilities combined make blockchain an important asset in the pursuit of verifiable and reliable artificial intelligence.

Synergies of Decentralized AI and Blockchain

The combination of decentralized AI (DeAI) and blockchain technology brings strong synergies, and hence, the building of stronger and more reliable AI systems through the leveraging of the distinct capabilities of both paradigms. Hybrid architectures and frameworks are developing that utilize blockchain for secure data handling, transparent model sharing, and decentralized governance in DeAI systems.

This convergence unleashes a variety of strong use cases that notably augment AI truth verification. Decentralized marketplaces for data, based on blockchain, provide secure and transparent exchange of high-quality data used for training AI, with frequently integrated mechanisms for data provenance tracking and incentivizing contributions. Blockchain's feature of maintaining the integrity of updates to models exchanged among participants without compromising the privacy of their local data benefits verifiable federated learning.

The vision of decentralized AI agents with verifiable actions materializes on blockchain platforms, where the operations, decisions, and management of resources by the agents are accounted for and verifiable on the immutable ledger. In media, DeAI and blockchain are being merged to develop solutions for authenticated media and content verification, effectively countering the spread of deepfakes and misinformation by virtue of on-chain provenance and verification mechanisms. In addition, decentralized identity proofing systems based on blockchain have the capability to improve accountability and trust in engagements with AI systems by offering verifiable and self-controlled digital identities.

Current studies and many active projects are actively researching and applying these combined methods. Scholarly research is examining the theoretical foundations and practical applications of integrating DeAI and blockchain for improved AI verification. A number of platforms and initiatives have also arisen in this arena, including the Grayscale Decentralized AI Fund, which invests in native tokens of DeAI protocols, Ocean Protocol, which enables secure data sharing, and SingularityNET, an AI service marketplace, among others. Collectively, these efforts reflect the increased recognition of the synergistic potential of DeAI and blockchain in creating more trustworthy and dependable AI ecosystems.

The Human Element: Incorporating Oversight and Interpretability

While decentralized blockchain and AI technologies provide unprecedented breakthroughs in transparency and trust, the presence of human monitoring and interpretability is still key to guaranteeing the responsible and ethical design and deployment of AI systems. Regardless of the decentralization and automation provided by these technologies, human monitoring is still required to guide through intricate ethical situations, maintain fairness, and avoid possible unforeseen effects in autonomous AI systems. Various models of human supervision can be used, varying from direct control and intervention to continuous monitoring and auditing of the behavior and outcomes of AI.

The interpretability role is also crucial in the decentralized AI context and blockchain-based AI authentication. Even where blockchain offers an extensive audit history of AI computations, human interpretability is crucial for knowing why decentralized AI models come to the conclusions they do. Obtaining interpretability of intricate decentralized AI models poses distinctive challenges, calling for the formulation of suitable tools and methods capable of shedding light on the reasoning underlying AI judgments. Finally, interpretability is essential in establishing trust in AI systems because it enables users and stakeholders to comprehend and verify the reasoning behind their outputs and enhance confidence in the technology. The combination of decentralized AI and blockchain for truth verification also has profound ethical and societal implications. Ethical issues involve dealing with possible biases that could be embedded in the verification process itself, promoting fairness and justice in decentralized AI systems, and protecting user privacy in distributed data environments. The greater transparency and verifiability provided by these technologies have wider societal implications, possibly affecting trust in information sources and institutions. In addition, the decentralized structure of these ecosystems poses special challenges to governance and regulation, necessitating thoughtful consideration of how to create accountability and promote responsible innovation.

CONCLUSION

The merging of decentralized AI and blockchain technology offers a promising avenue towards solving the urgent demand for verifiable and reliable AI systems. Through the union of the distributed and collaborative nature of DeAI and the secure and transparent framework of blockchain, a more robust, accountable, and ethically sound future for artificial intelligence can be envisioned. This converged strategy brings about important advantages such as increased transparency, trust, security, and accountability in AI systems. It is, however, to be noted that despite these, there are challenges and limitations to the large-scale implementation and convergence of these technologies. Scalability of blockchain networks, the intrinsic complexity of combining AI and blockchain systems, and the continuous necessity for additional research and standardization are all considerations which need to be carefully weighed. Future work must center on creating more scalable and interoperable decentralized blockchain and AI platforms, improving interpretability methods tailored specifically for distributed AI models, and creating strong governance and regulatory systems that can promote responsible innovation in this fast-developing sector. the intersection of decentralized AI and blockchain has transformative power to create a future where artificial intelligence is not only powerful but also inherently trustworthy and reliable. Sustained investigation and development in this interdisciplinary field are needed to bring out the best in AI and minimize its threats, eventually toward a more responsible and useful implementation of AI in society.

REFERENCES

[1] S. Nakamoto, Bitcoin: A peer-to-peer electronic cash system, Decentralized business review (2008) 21260.

[2] X. Li, Hybrid analysis of smart contracts and malicious behaviors in ethereum, Hong Kong Polytechnic University (2021) 179.

[3] P. Mukherjee, C. Pradhan, Blockchain 1.0 to blockchain 4.0—the evolutionary transformation of blockchain technology, In Blockchain Technology: Applications and Challenges, Springer, 2021, pp. 29–49.

[4] C. Schaefer, C. Edman, Transparent logging with hyperledger fabric, In Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Blockchain and Cryptocurrency (ICBC), IEEE, 2019, pp. 65–69.

[5] H. S. Jennath, V. S. Anoop, S. Asharaf, Blockchain for healthcare: Securing patient data and enabling trusted artificial intelligence, International Journal of Interactive Multimedia and Artificial Intelligence 6 (2020) 1–9.

[6] X. Tang, L. Zhu, M. Shen, J. Peng, J. Kang, D. Niyato, A. A. A. El-Latif, Secure and trusted collaborative learning based on blockchain for artificial intelligence of things, IEEE Wireless Communications 29 (3) (2022) 14–22.

[7] W. Li, J. Bu, X. Li, X. Chen, Security analysis of defi: Vulnerabilities, attacks and advances, In Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Blockchain (Blockchain), IEEE, 2022-08, pp. 488–493.

[8] F. J. de Haro-Olmo, A. J. Varela-Vaca, J. A. ´ Alvarez-Bermejo, Blockchain from the ´ point of view of privacy and anonymisation: A systematic literature review, Sensors 20 (24) (2020) 7171.

[9] B. Zhang, J. Zhu, H. Su, Toward the third generation artificial intelligence, Science China Information Sciences 66 (2) (2023) 121101.

[10] G. Eason, B. Noble, and I. N. Sneddon, "On certain integrals of Lipschitz-Hankel type involving products of Bessel functions," Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London, vol. A247, pp. 529–551, April 1955. (references)

[11] Y. Long, Y. Chen, W. Ren, H. Dou, N. N. Xiong, Depet: A decentralized privacy preserving energy trading scheme for vehicular energy network via blockchain and k - anonymity, IEEE Access 8 (2020) 192587–192596.

[12] X. Li, T. Chen, X. Luo, J. Yu, Characterizing erasable accounts in ethereum, In Proceedings of the Information Security Conference (ISC), Springer, 2020, pp. 352–371.

[13] N. Ni, Y. Zhu, Enabling zero knowledge proof by accelerating zk-snark kernels on gpu, Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing 173 (2023) 20–31.

[14] J. Lai, Z. Huang, J. Weng, Y. Wu, k-lin-based homomorphic encryption schemes, Journal of Software 34 (2) (2023) 802.

[15] J. K. Liu, M. H. Au, W. Susilo, J. Zhou, Linkable ring signature with unconditional anonymity, IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering 26 (1) (2014) 157– 165.

[16] X. Yang, W. Li, A zero-knowledge-proof-based digital identity management scheme in blockchain, Computers & Security 99 (2020) 102050.

[17] S. Zhang, W. Li, X. Li, B. Liu, Authros: Secure data sharing among robot operating systems based on ethereum, In Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Software Quality, Reliability and Security (QRS), IEEE, 2022, pp. 1–11.

[18] Y. Zhang, S. Li, Y. Shi, Xuan, et al, Secure multi-party θ-join algorithm toward data federation, Chinese Journal of Software 34 (3) (2023) 1109.

[19] A. C. Yao, Protocols for secure computations, In Proceedings of the IEEE Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS), IEEE, 1982, pp. 160–164.

[20] C. Dwork, Differential privacy, In Proceedings of the International Colloquium on Automata, Languages and Programming (ICALP), Springer, 2006, pp. 1–12.

[21] M. X. Wang Leixia, Esa: A novel privacy preserving framework, Journal of Computer Research and Development 59 (144–171).

[22] T. Wang, A unified analytical framework for trustable machine learning and automation running with blockchain, In Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Big Data (Big Data), IEEE, 2018, pp. 4974–4983.

[23] X. Li, T. Chen, X. Luo, C. Wang, Clue: Towards discovering locked cryptocurrencies in ethereum, In Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on Applied Computing (SAC), ACM, 2021, pp. 1584–1587.

E-ISSN: 2584-0657

[24] A. A. Khan, A. A. Laghari, P. Li, M. A. Dootio, S. Karim, The cooperative role of blockchain, artificial intelligence, and industrial internet of things in digitalization of small and medium-size enterprises, Scientific Reports 13 (1) (2023) 1656–1656.".